

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

SHORTER ARTICLES.

THE GEOLOGIC DISTRIBUTION OF POLLICIPES
AND SCALPELLUM.

In a valuable memoir on the 'Hudson River beds near Albany and their taxonomic equivalents,' published as Bulletin of the New York State Museum, No. 42, April, 1901, Dr. Rudolph Ruedemann describes a number of variously shaped valves found in the Upper and Lower Utica Shale of Green Island and Mechanicsville, N. Y. (p. 578, pl. ii.). These he believes to 'find their homologues in parts of the capitula of the pedunculate cirriped genera Scalpellum and Pollicipes, notably of the latter. On this account the various valves have been united under the caption Pollicipes siluricus, in full consciousness of the enormous gap existing between the appearance of this Lower Siluric type and the next Upper Triassic (Rhaetic) representatives of these genera.' Confirmation of Dr. Ruedemann's ascription may be derived from the fact that 'the enormous gap' does not exist. Early in 1892 Dr. C. W. S. Aurivillius * published the descriptions of Pollicipes signatus from bed e (= Lower Ludlow), P. validus from bed c (= Wenlock Shale), Scalpellum sulcatum, S. varium, S. granulatum, S. strobiloides, S. procerum, S. cylindricum, and S. fragile, all from bed c, of the island of Gotland. The species of Scalpellum are founded on peduncles. Pollicipes validus is represented by a broken scutum only, but P. signatus is based on an almost perfect specimen. The occurrence of more than one species of both these genera in the Silurian lends significance to the diversity of form presented by Dr. Ruedemann's specimens. The ornament on his Fig. 18 most nearly resembles that of P. signatus, while the rostrum, Fig. 22, is also not unlike that species. Figures 16, 17, 19 may belong to more than one other species, while 24 (with which presumably 25 is to be associated) may belong to a Scalpellum, as Dr. Ruedemann seems to hint. In the circumstances it is specially regrettable that Dr. Ruedemann has selected no one of these specimens as the holotype of Pollicipes siluricus. If he does not do so soon, confusion is pretty certain

*Bihang Sveska Vet.-Akad. Handl., XVIII., Afd. IV., No. 3.

Figures 13, 14, 15, are referred to *Turrilepas* (?) filosus n. sp. A recent examination of the plates of that genus suggests to me that the note of interrogation is fully justified.

Aurivillius considered that Pollicipes signatus showed a closer approach to the Balanidæ than any other of the Lepadidæ, but he too, in ignorance of the Devonian Protobalanus Whitf., discoursed needlessly about the gap in the distribution. Now that the range of the Lepadidæ has been extended to the Ordovician, we may look confidently for further discoveries. may also hope that the time has now come when even the text-books may awake to the fact that the genera Pollicipes and Scalpellum existed in Palæozoic times. It was pointed out in your own columns a year ago (Vol. XI., p. 984), and in other reviews before that. But perhaps the reviewer and the author reviewed are the only readers of a review.

My apology for insisting on this is not merely that both Dr. Aurivillius and Professor Lindström, who supplied him with the material, have unhappily passed away, but that I had the good fortune to be the discoverer of the beautiful specimen of *Pollicipes signatus*, when developing a specimen of *Gissocrinus verrucosus* from the *Pterygotus* bed of Wisby Waterfall in May, 1891. The very fragile specimen was subsequently licked into shape (no metaphor is intended) by Mr. G. Liljevall, to whom the excellent drawing of it is due. F. A. BATHER.

LONDON, June 5, 1901.

QUOTATIONS.

THE SALARIES OF SCIENTIFIC MEN IN THE EMPLOYMENT OF THE GOVERNMENT.

WITHIN the past few years our Government has come to fully recognize the wisdom of utilizing in every way possible the results of modern science, particularly in the conduct of its internal affairs. The amount appropriated by the late Congress for scientific purposes was somewhere in the neighborhood of \$9,000,000— a larger sum than is devoted by any other Government to like purposes. Yet the liberal and enlightened policy evinced by legislation of this sort has been accompanied by a short-sightedness—not to use a less complimentary term—hard to account for in a legislative body made up largely